

WEED CONTROL IN AUSTRALIAN NATIVE PLANTS AND SOUTH AFRICAN PROTEAS

M. G. WEBB

*Western Australian Department of Agriculture
Baron Hay Court
South Perth, Western Australia*

INTRODUCTION

The flora of Western Australia is diverse and unusual, ranging from the spectacular to the seemingly mundane. Sandplain and forest areas north and south of Perth, respectively, support over 8000 plant species, with perhaps another 1000 yet to be described.

Commercial plantings of Australian native plants in a row crop situation began in the early 1980's mainly in response to increasing export demand for species not widely distributed in the bush. In Western Australia, the area of native plants under cultivation has increased from 20 ha in 1980 to approximately 1080 ha in 1988 (9).

The area of South African proteas planted in Western Australia has also increased substantially, from virtually nil in 1983 to over 200 ha in 1988 (9).

Weed control in cultivated native plants and proteas is essential for optimum plant growth and flower yield. Weed control in native plants was not required when the flowers and foliage were being harvested from the bush. However, successful intensive cultivation on both virgin and established farm land is dependent on effective weed control.

WEED CONTROL METHODS

Weeds in native plants and proteas can be controlled using one or more of the following methods:

Soil fumigation. Soil fumigation can be used pre-planting to control early weed growth in perennial plants but the cost can exceed A\$4000 ha⁻¹ (6). Soil fumigation has not yet been used in Western Australia to provide weed control for field grown native plants or proteas.

Synthetic mulch. Synthetic mulch has been used on less than 10 ha in Western Australia to provide weed control in proteas. Synthetic mulch has also been used on some large plantings of native plants and proteas in eastern Australia. The cost of synthetic mulch ranges from A\$1000 to A\$12,000 ha⁻¹, depending upon mulch type and row width. Synthetic mulch cannot be used for *Anigozanthos* spp. where the tops are burnt each year to reduce the incidence of leaf diseases.

Inter-row weed control. Inter-row weed control can be achieved either by mechanical means, or by using non-selective herbicides. Mechanical weed control can generally only be used on young plants before they grow into the row and make tractor movement difficult. A modified sod management system has been adopted by some growers where the inter-row weed strip is kept mown over winter and weeds along the row are controlled by careful application of a non-selective herbicide. Although the mown strip dies off in late spring, the weed residue helps hold the soil together and reduces plant damage caused by strong winds and sand blasting. On some native plants, like *Chamelaucium uncinatum* or *Banksia hookeriana*, where the lower growth is removed by pruning, non-selective herbicides like diquat and paraquat can be sprayed at low pressures right up to the base of mature plants without causing any damage.

Hand weeding. Hand weeding is very expensive, costing up to A\$10,000 ha⁻¹, depending upon the severity of the weed infestation (6). Hand weeding is not really an option in most plantings except for large, easily-removed weeds.

Chemical weed control. Weed control using selective pre- and post-emergent herbicides presents an economic alternative to other forms of weed control. This will be examined in more detail below.

CHEMICAL WEED CONTROL

There is a lack of information on pre- and post-emergent herbicides used for weed control in Australian native plants and South African proteas grown for cut flower and foliage production. In Western Australia, the only selective herbicides registered for use in ornamental crops are chloroxuron, oxadiazon, fluazifop-butyl, and chlorthal dimethyl.

Although there is a paucity of information on herbicides registered for use in native plants and proteas, there is considerable information on herbicides tested on native plant species used for revegetation and timber production.

Pre-emergent herbicides successfully tested on various *Eucalyptus* spp. include oryzalin (3), oxadiazon (3, 5), terbuthylazine and terbumeton (11), simazine (1,4) and nitrofen (10).

Pre-emergent herbicides successfully tested on other native plant species like *Acacia saligna* and *Casuarina cunninghamiana* include oxadiazon and propyzamide (5).

Pre-emergent herbicides successfully tested on *Protea neriifolia*, *Leucadendron* 'Safari Sunset', *Leucospermum cordifolium* and *Banksia menziesii* include oxadiazon, oryzalin and oxyfluorfen (2).

Post-emergent herbicides successfully tested on various native plant species include fluazifop-butyl (5, 8), propazine (5), and sethoxydim (8).

Fluazifop-butyl has also been successfully tested against a range of *Banksia* spp., *Protea* spp. and *Leucospermum cordifolium* (2).

Table 1. Plant species tested by herbicide. Number is maximum^b kg ha⁻¹ a.i. applied with nil or very slight damage.

Species or Cultivars ^a	bronoxynil	chloroxuron	chlorthal dimethyl	diflufenican	linuron	methabenzthiazuron	metribuzin	nitro benzoic acid	oryzalin	ozadiazon	oxyfluorfen	phenmedipham	prometryn	sethoxydim	simazine
<i>Anigozanthos manglesii</i> cv. FMB	0.2	-	-	S	1.1	-	VS	0.22 +	4.5	-	S +	-	0.55	-	1 +
<i>A. pulcherrimus</i>	0.4	S	13	S	0.55	1.4	VS	0.22 +	4.5	8	S	0.8	0.55 +	0.36	S +
<i>A. rufus</i>	-	VS	13	-	0.55 +	1.4	VS	-	4.5	8	-	1.6	-	0.36	1 +
<i>Boronia heterophylla</i>	0.2	-	-	0.1 +	1.1	-	S	0.22	4.5	-	S	-	1.1	-	2
<i>Chamelaucium axillare</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	-	4.5	8	-	-	-	0.36	-
<i>C. uncinatum</i> cv. Alba	0.4	-	-	0.1	1.1	-	0.7	0.44	4.5	8	0.24	-	1.1	0.36	1 +
<i>C. uncinatum</i> cv. Mullering Brook	0.4	-	-	0.1	1.1	-	0.7	0.44	4.5	8	0.24	-	1.1	-	1 +
<i>C. uncinatum</i> cv. Purple Pride	0.2	-	-	0.05	1.1	-	0.7	0.44	4.5	8	0.12	-	1.1	0.36	1 +
<i>Helichrysum cordatum</i>	VS	-	-	0.1	0.55	-	VS	0.44	4.5	-	0.24	-	1.1	-	VS
<i>Hypocalymma angustifolium</i>	VS	-	-	0.1	1.1	-	S	0.44	4.5	-	0.12	-	1.1	-	VS
<i>H. xanthopetalum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	-	4.5	8	-	-	-	0.36	1 +
<i>Lrodia achilleoides</i>	0.2	-	-	0.1	0.55	-	VS	0.44	4.5	8	0.24	-	1.1	0.36	1 +
<i>Macropidia fuliginosa</i>	-	2.25	13	-	1.1	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-
<i>Scholtzia oligandra</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.24	-	4.5	8	-	-	-	0.36	1 +
<i>Thryptomene denticulata</i>	0.4	-	-	0.1	1.1	-	0.7	0.44	4.5	8	0.24	-	1.1	0.36	1
<i>Verticordia monadelph</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.48	-	4.5	8	-	-	-	0.36	2 +
<i>V. plumosa</i>	0.2	-	-	S +	1.1	-	-	0.22	4.5	-	0.12 +	-	1.1	-	1
<i>V. sp.</i> cv. Coolamia	0.4	-	-	0.1	1.1	-	0.35	0.44	4.5	-	S +	-	1.1	-	1
<i>Leucadendron</i> sp. cv. Silvan Red	0.4	-	-	0.1	1.1	-	S	0.44	4.5	-	0.24	-	1.1	-	2
<i>Protea cynaroides</i>	0.4	-	-	0.05	0.55	-	S	0.44	4.5	-	0.24	-	1.1	-	1
<i>Protea ucriifolia</i>	0.4	-	-	0.1	1.1	-	0.35	0.22	4.5	-	0.24	-	1.1	-	2 +

Note: ^a Plants established as rooted cuttings in 140 mm pots with 1:1 coarse sand and composted pine bark mix.

^b Maximum of rates tested.

S Sensitive

VS Very Sensitive.

+ Variable results obtained. Test rates indicated with caution.

- Not tested.

HERBICIDE EXPERIMENTS IN WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Three pot experiments were conducted in Western Australia from 1988 to 1990 to examine the effects of 21 pre- and post-emergent herbicides on a range of Australian native plant species and three protea species. A summary of the maximum herbicide rate tolerated by a range of plant species is provided in Table 1.

Field research on Australian native plants is being conducted in 1990 to further examine promising herbicides identified in the initial pot experiments.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1 Davenhill, N A 1980. Tolerance of eucalypts to triazine herbicides. *Australian Weed Research Newsletter* 29.25-28
- 2 DeFrank, J and F D Rauch 1988 Weed control strategies for Hawaiian grown protea *Hort Dig* 88 5-9 University of Hawaii
- 3 Elmore, C L. 1973 Weed pollution *Proc. Inter Plant Prop Soc* 23 95-101
- 4 Flinn, D W , H T L Stewart, and P J O'Shaugnessy 1979 Screening on weedicides for overspraying *Eucalyptus*, *Pinus*, and *Casuarina* on clay soils irrigated with treated effluent *Austral Forest* 42 215-225
- 5 Hall, M 1985 Tolerance of *Eucalyptus*, *Acacia*, and *Casuarina* seedlings to pre-emergent herbicides *Austral Forest* 48 264-266
- 6 Lamont, G P and M A O'Connell 1986 An evaluation of pre-emergent herbicides in field-grown cut flowers *Plant Protect Quart* 1 95-100
- 7 Lamont, G P , M A O'Connell, and P J Nicholls 1985 An evaluation of pre-emergent herbicides for container-grown ornamental plants *Scient Hort* 26 241-251
- 8 McMurray, S K 1985 Post-emergent herbicides for weed control with direct-seeded native species *Dept Environ and Plan* , Adelaide, South Australia
- 9 Pegrum, J 1989 Outlook for Floriculture *Western Australian Dept. Agric. Misc Pub. No. 7/89* 71-75
- 10 Schuster, C J and R R A Fremlin 1980 Herbicides for use in Western Australian forest nurseries *W A For Dept Res Paper No* 61, 11 pp
- 11 Yortt, M L and G R Rowe 1977 Tolerance of five eucalypt species to terbuthylazine plus terbumeton *Proc 30th N Z Weed and Pest Control Conf* 238-241